Functional integral derivation of the kinetic equation of two-dimensional point vortices

Jean-Baptiste Fouvry[a], Pierre-Henri Chavanis[b], Christophe Pichon[a,c]

[a] *Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris and UPMC, CNRS (UMR 7095), 98 bis Boulevard Arago, 75014, Paris, France*
[b] *Laboratoire de Physique Théorique (IRSAMC), CNRS and UPS, Univ. de Toulouse, F-31062 Toulouse, France*
[c] *Korea Institute of Advanced Studies (KIAS) 85 Hoegiro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul, 02455, Republic of Korea*

arXiv:1606.03924v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 13 Jun 2016

Abstract

We present a brief derivation of the kinetic equation describing the secular evolution of point vortices in two-dimensional hydrodynamics, by relying on a functional integral formalism. We start from Liouville's equation which describes the exact dynamics of a two-dimensional system of point vortices. At the order $1/N$, the evolution of the system is characterised by the first two equations of the BBGKY hierarchy involving the system's $1-$body distribution function and its $2-$body correlation function. Thanks to the introduction of auxiliary fields, these two evolution constraints may be rewritten as a functional integral. When functionally integrated over the $2-$body correlation function, this rewriting leads to a new constraint coupling the $1-$body distribution function and the two auxiliary fields. Once inverted, this constraint provides, through a new route, the closed non-linear kinetic equation satisfied by the $1-$body distribution function. Such a method sheds new lights on the origin of these kinetic equations complementing the traditional derivation methods.

Keywords: Kinetic Theory, Landau equation, Two-dimensional vortices, Long-range interactions

1. Introduction

There exist beautiful analogies between stellar systems and two-dimensional ($2D$) vortices [1]. Stellar systems and $2D$ point vortices undergo two successive types of relaxation. They first reach a quasistationary state (QSS) due to a process of violent collisionless relaxation. The concept of violent relaxation was introduced by Lynden-Bell [2] in the case of stellar systems described by the Vlasov equation and by Miller [3] and Robert and Sommeria [4] in the case of $2D$ vortices described by the $2D$ Euler equation (see [5] for a description of the close link between these two theories). These QSSs correspond to galaxies in astrophysics [6] or to large scale vortices (like Jupiter's great red spot) in geophysical and astrophysical flows [7]. On a longer (secular) timescale, "collisions"[1] between stars or between point vortices come into play and drive the system towards a statistical equilibrium state described by the Boltzmann distribution. This statistical equilibrium state was conjectured by Ogorodnikov [8] in the case of stellar systems and by Onsager [9, 10] and Montgomery and Joyce [11] in the case of $2D$ point vortices. Actually, for collisional stellar systems such as globular clusters the relaxation towards the Boltzmann statistical equilibrium state is hampered by the evaporation of stars [12] and by the gravothermal catastrophe [13, 14]. In the case of $2D$ point vortices, the statistical equilibrium state may present the peculiarity to have a negative temperature as first noted by Onsager [9].

To understand the dynamical evolution of these systems, we need to develop a kinetic theory. The collisionless evolution of stellar systems is described by the Vlasov [15] equation that was first written by Jeans [16] in astrophysics.[2] The collisional evolution of stellar systems is usually described by the Fokker-Planck equation introduced by Chandrasekhar [17] or by the Landau [18] equation. These equations rely on a local approximation (as if the system were spatially homogeneous) and neglect collective effects (i.e., the dressing of the stars by their polarisation cloud). A gravitational Landau equation that takes into account spatial inhomogeneity through the use of angle-action variables has been introduced in [19, 20, 21] and a gravitational Balescu-Lenard equation that takes into account spatial inhomogeneity and collective effects has been introduced in [22, 23]. These equations have recently been applied to stellar discs in [24, 25, 26].

Exploiting the analogy between $2D$ vortices and stellar systems, a kinetic theory of point vortices has been elaborated by Chavanis [27]. The collisionless evolution of point vortices is described by the $2D$ Euler equation. When collective effects are neglected, the collisional evolution of point vortices is described by a Landau-type equation [27, 28, 29]. A Balescu-Lenard-type equation taking collective effects into account has been derived in [30, 31] for an axisymmetric distribution of point vortices. It is equivalent to the one derived in [32] in the similar context of non-neutral plasmas.

[1] These "collisions" do not correspond to physical collisions but rather to - possibly distant - encounters between the particles. They account for fluctuations due to finite$-N$ effects, i.e., for the granularity of the system.

[2] The kinetic theories of stellar systems and neutral Coulombian plasmas have been developed in parallel (and often independently) by astrophysicists and plasma physicists.

One can understand the collisional evolution of stellar systems and $2D$ point vortices heuristically by analogy with the Brownian motion. A star has a diffusive motion due to the fluctuations of the gravitational force but it also experiences a dynamical friction [33]. Similarly, a point vortex has a diffusive motion due to the fluctuations of the velocity field and also experiences a systematic drift [27]. The diffusion can be understood by considering the statistics of the gravitational force created by a random distribution of stars [34] or the statistics of the velocity created by a random distribution of point vortices [35]. The dynamical friction experienced by a star and the systematic drift experienced by a point vortex can be understood from a polarisation process and a linear response theory (see [36] for stellar systems and [37] for point vortices). The friction and drift coefficients are related to the diffusion coefficient by a form of Einstein relation. Further analogies between the kinetic theory of stellar systems, $2D$ vortices, and systems with long-range interactions in general are discussed in [20].

There are many methods to derive kinetic equations for systems with long-range interactions. The most popular are the BBGKY hierarchy based on the Liouville equation (see [38, 39] for plasmas, [40, 41, 42, 22, 21] for stellar systems and [29, 31] for point vortices), the quasilinear theory based on the Klimontovich equation (see [43] for plasmas, [44, 23] for stellar systems and [32, 30, 29] for point vortices), and the projection operator technique also based on the Liouville equation (see [45] for stellar systems and [27] for point vortices). One can also derive kinetic equations from a field theory. This method was introduced by Jolicoeur and Le Guillou [46] to derive the homogeneous Balescu-Lenard equation of plasma physics. Recently, this method was generalised to stellar systems in [47] to derive the inhomogeneous Landau equation. Owing to the analogy between stellar systems and $2D$ point vortices, it is of interest to show how this method can be used to derive the Landau equation for axisymmetric point vortices.

The present letter is organised as follows. Section 2 presents a brief derivation of the relevant BBGKY hierarchy in the context of the kinetic theory of $2D$ point vortices. Section 3 details the functional integral formalism introduced in [46] and applied in [47] for inhomogeneous long-range systems. Section 4 illustrates how this formalism may be used to obtain the Landau equation describing the secular evolution of axisymmetric $2D$ point vortices. Section 5 discusses the limitations of our approach and its possible extensions. Finally, section 6 wraps up.

2. Derivation of the BBGKY hierarchy

In this section, we briefly recover the evolution equations describing the dynamics of point vortices and the associated BBGKY hierarchy. We consider a $2D$ system made of N point vortices of individual circulation $\gamma=\Gamma_{\rm tot}/N$. The individual dynamics of these vortices is entirely described by the Kirchhoff-Hamilton equations which read [48]:

$$\gamma\frac{\mathrm{d}x_i}{\mathrm{d}t}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial y_i}\quad;\quad\gamma\frac{\mathrm{d}y_i}{\mathrm{d}t}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i}\,,\tag{1}$$

where we introduced the coordinates $\boldsymbol{r}=(x,y)$, as well as the Hamiltonian $H=\gamma^2\sum_{i<j}u_{ij}$, where $u_{ij}=-1/(2\pi)\ln(|\boldsymbol{r}_i-\boldsymbol{r}_j|)$ is the potential of interaction between two vortices. We may now introduce the $N-$body probability distribution function (PDF) $P_N(\boldsymbol{r}_1,...,\boldsymbol{r}_N,t)$, which describes the probability of finding the vortex 1 at position $\boldsymbol{r}_1$, vortex 2 at position $\boldsymbol{r}_2$, etc. We normalise P_N such that $\int\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1...\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_N\,P_N(\boldsymbol{r}_1,...,\boldsymbol{r}_N,t)=1$. The evolution of P_N is then governed by Liouville's equation which reads

$$\frac{\partial P_N}{\partial t}+\gamma\sum_{i=1}^{N}\boldsymbol{V}_i\cdot\frac{\partial P_N}{\partial\boldsymbol{r}_i}=0\,,\tag{2}$$

where we defined the velocity $\boldsymbol{V}_i=\sum_{j\neq i}\boldsymbol{V}_{ij}=\sum_{j\neq i}-\boldsymbol{e}_z\times\partial u_{ij}/\partial\boldsymbol{r}_i$. Here, $\boldsymbol{V}_{ij}$ denotes the exact velocity induced by the vortex j on the vortex i. We now introduce the reduced distribution functions (DF) f_n as

$$f_n(\boldsymbol{r}_1,...,\boldsymbol{r}_n,t)=\gamma^n\frac{N!}{(N-n)!}\int\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_{n+1}...\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_N\,P_N(\boldsymbol{r}_1,...,\boldsymbol{r}_N,t)\,.\tag{3}$$

Integrating equation (2) w.r.t. $(\boldsymbol{r}_{n+1},...,\boldsymbol{r}_N)$, one obtains a BBGKY-like hierarchy of equations as

$$\frac{\partial f_n}{\partial t}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1,k\neq i}^{n}\gamma\boldsymbol{V}_{ik}\cdot\frac{\partial f_n}{\partial\boldsymbol{r}_i}+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\int\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_{n+1}\,\boldsymbol{V}_{i,n+1}\cdot\frac{\partial f_{n+1}}{\partial\boldsymbol{r}_i}=0\,.\tag{4}$$

We are interested in the contributions arising from the correlations between particles, and therefore introduce the cluster representation of the DF. Indeed, we define the $2-$ and $3-$body correlation functions g_2 and g_3 as

$$\begin{aligned}f_2(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2)&=f_1(\boldsymbol{r}_1)f_1(\boldsymbol{r}_2)+g_2(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2)\,,\\ f_3(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2,\boldsymbol{r}_3)&=f_1(\boldsymbol{r}_1)f_1(\boldsymbol{r}_2)f_1(\boldsymbol{r}_3)\\&\quad+f_1(\boldsymbol{r}_1)g_2(\boldsymbol{r}_2,\boldsymbol{r}_3)+f_1(\boldsymbol{r}_2)g_2(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_3)+f_1(\boldsymbol{r}_3)g_2(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2)\\&\quad+g_3(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2,\boldsymbol{r}_3)\,.\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

It is then straightforward to check that one has the normalisations

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1\,f_1(\boldsymbol{r}_1)=\gamma N\quad;\quad\int\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_2\,g_2(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2)=-\gamma^2N\,,\\&\int\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_2\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_3\,g_3(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2,\boldsymbol{r}_3)=2\gamma^3N\,.\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

Since the individual circulation scales like $\gamma\sim1/N$, one immediately has $|f_1|\sim1$, $|g_2|\sim1/N$, and $|g_3|\sim1/N^2$. In order to consider quantities of order 1, we introduce the system's $1-$body DF F, and $2-$body correlation function C as

$$F=f_1\quad;\quad C=\frac{g_2}{\gamma}\,.\tag{7}$$

When truncated at the order $1/N$, one can easily show that the first two equations of the hierarchy from equation (4) become

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t}+\left[\int\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_2\,\boldsymbol{V}_{12}F(\boldsymbol{r}_2)\right]\cdot\frac{\partial F}{\partial\boldsymbol{r}_1}+\gamma\int\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_2\,\boldsymbol{V}_{12}\cdot\frac{\partial C(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2)}{\partial\boldsymbol{r}_1}=0\,,\tag{8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial C(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2)}{\partial t}+\left[\int\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_3\,\boldsymbol{V}_{13}F(\boldsymbol{r}_3)\right]\cdot\frac{\partial C(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2)}{\partial\boldsymbol{r}_1}+\boldsymbol{V}_{12}\cdot\frac{\partial F}{\partial\boldsymbol{r}_1}F(\boldsymbol{r}_2)\\&+\left[\int\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_3\,\boldsymbol{V}_{13}C(\boldsymbol{r}_2,\boldsymbol{r}_3)\right]\cdot\frac{\partial F}{\partial\boldsymbol{r}_1}+(1\leftrightarrow2)=0\,,\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

where $(1 \leftrightarrow 2)$ stands for the permutation of indices 1 and 2, and applies to all preceding terms. The two equations (8) and (9) form a system of two coupled evolution equations involving F and C, and are at the centre of the upcoming functional integral formalism.

3. Functional integral formalism

When introducing their application of the functional integral formalism in the context of classical kinetic theory, [46] showed how two coupled evolution equations such as equations (8) and (9) may be rewritten under a functional form. As an illustration of this approach, let us consider a dynamical quantity f depending on the time t and defined on a phase space Γ. We assume that this quantity satisfies an evolution equation of the form $[\partial_t + L]f = 0$, where L is a differential operator. Introducing an auxiliary field λ defined on the same space as f, the evolution constraint on f can be rewritten under the form (see [46] and [47] for more details)

$$1 = \int \mathcal{D}f\mathcal{D}\lambda \, \exp\left[\mathrm{i}\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\Gamma \, \lambda[\partial_t + L]f\right]. \tag{10}$$

In equation (10), we define the action $S[F,\lambda] = \mathrm{i}\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\Gamma \, \lambda[\partial_t + L]f$ as the argument of the exponential. One should finally note that the evolution equation satisfied by f corresponds to the quantity by which the auxiliary field λ is multiplied in the action.

When considering the two coupled equations (8) and (9) involving F and C, one may proceed to a similar transformation. Indeed, introducing two auxiliary fields $\lambda_1(t, \boldsymbol{r}_1)$ and $\lambda_2(t, \boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2)$ respectively associated with F and C, equations (8) and (9) can be rewritten under the functional form

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \int \mathcal{D}F\mathcal{D}C\mathcal{D}\lambda_1\mathcal{D}\lambda_2 \exp\Big\{\mathrm{i}\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1 \, \lambda_1(A_1F + B_1C) \\ + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_2 \, \lambda_2(A_2C + D_2C + S_2)\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

In equation (11), we introduced the operators A_1, B_1, A_2, D_2, and S_2 as

$$\begin{aligned} A_1F &= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \left[\int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_2 \, \boldsymbol{V}_{12}F(\boldsymbol{r}_2)\right]\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_1}\right]F(\boldsymbol{r}_1), \\ B_1C &= \gamma\int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_2 \, \boldsymbol{V}_{12}\cdot\frac{\partial C(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2)}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_1}, \\ A_2C &= \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_3 \, F(\boldsymbol{r}_3)\left[\boldsymbol{V}_{13}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_1} + \boldsymbol{V}_{23}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_2}\right]\right]C(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2), \\ D_2C &= \left[\int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_3 \, \boldsymbol{V}_{13}C(\boldsymbol{r}_2,\boldsymbol{r}_3)\right]\cdot\frac{\partial F}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_1} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \\ S_2 &= F(\boldsymbol{r}_2)\boldsymbol{V}_{12}\cdot\frac{\partial F}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_1} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The prefactor $1/2$ in equation (11) was only added for later convenience and does not play any role on the final expression of the evolution equations, since it was added as a global prefactor. One may now rewrite the functional integral from equation (11) under the form

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \int \mathcal{D}F\mathcal{D}C\mathcal{D}\lambda_1\mathcal{D}\lambda_2 \exp\Big\{\mathrm{i}\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1 \, \lambda_1(\boldsymbol{r}_1)\, A_1F(\boldsymbol{r}_1) \\ + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_2 \, \lambda_2(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2)\, G(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2) \\ - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_2 \, C(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2)\, E(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2)\Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where it is important to note that all the dependences w.r.t. C were gathered in the prefactor of the third line. In equation (13), we introduced the quantity $G(\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2)$ as

$$G(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2) = \boldsymbol{V}_{12}\cdot\left[F(\boldsymbol{r}_2)\frac{\partial F}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_1} - F(\boldsymbol{r}_1)\frac{\partial F}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_2}\right], \tag{14}$$

where we used the relation $\boldsymbol{V}_{ij} = -\boldsymbol{V}_{ji}$. In equation (13), we also introduced the quantity $E(\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} E(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2) = A_2\lambda_2(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2) + \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_3\left[\boldsymbol{V}_{13}\lambda_2(\boldsymbol{r}_2,\boldsymbol{r}_3) + \boldsymbol{V}_{23}\lambda_2(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_3)\right]\cdot\frac{\partial F}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_3} \\ + \gamma\boldsymbol{V}_{12}\cdot\left[\frac{\partial \lambda_1}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_1} - \frac{\partial \lambda_1}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_2}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

obtained thanks to integrations by parts. In order to invert the time derivative $\partial C/\partial t$ present in the term $\lambda_2 A_2 C$ from equation (11), we assumed $t \in [0; T]$, where T is an arbitrary temporal bound, along with the boundary conditions $C(t=0) = 0$ (the system is initially uncorrelated), and $\lambda_2(T) = 0$ (we are free to impose a condition on λ_2). As presented in [47], we will now neglect collective effects, i.e., neglect contributions associated with the term D_2C in equation (11). As a consequence, equation (15) becomes

$$E(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2) = A_2\lambda_2(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2) + \gamma\boldsymbol{V}_{12}\cdot\left[\frac{\partial \lambda_1}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_1} - \frac{\partial \lambda_1}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_2}\right]. \tag{16}$$

In order to obtain a closed kinetic equation involving F only, the traditional approach would be to start from equation (11) and proceed as follows. By functionally integrating equation (11) w.r.t. λ_2, one gets a constraint of the form $(A_2C + D_2C + S_2) = 0$, which effectively couples C and F. This must then be inverted to give $C = C[F]$. Using this substitution in equation (11) and functionally integrating it w.r.t. λ_1, one finally obtains a kinetic equation involving F only. This is the Landau equation (or Balescu-Lenard equation when collective effects are accounted for). However, based on the rewriting from equation (13), [46] suggested a different strategy. By functionally integrating equation (13) w.r.t. C, one gets a constraint of the form $E[F, \lambda_1, \lambda_2] = 0$. When inverted, this constraint leads to a relation of the form $\lambda_2 = \lambda_2[F, \lambda_1]$. Substituting this expression in equation (13), one then obtains a functional equation which only involves F and λ_1. When functionally integrating this equation w.r.t. λ_1, one finally obtains a closed kinetic equation involving F only. In [47], we illustrated how this approach may be used in the context of inhomogeneous systems and recovered the inhomogeneous Landau equation. In the present letter, we show how this same approach naturally applies in the context of axisymmetric systems of point vortices, when collectives effects are neglected, and recover the results from [27, 28, 29].



Figure 1: Illustration of the axisymmetric system of $2D$ point vortices considered in section 4. For clarity, only a subset of the vortices is represented: the considered system would have a much larger value of N. Each vortex has the same circulation γ. The mean flow is azimuthal and characterised by the angular velocity Ω.

4. Application to systems of vortices

4.1. Axisymmetric systems

In order to obtain an explicit kinetic equation, we will now place ourselves in the simplified geometry of an axisymmetric distribution of point vortices, as illustrated in figure 1. Such a geometry is straightforwardly a steady state of the $2D$ Euler equation, i.e., a stationary state of the collisionless dynamics. Introducing the polar coordinates $\boldsymbol{r}=(r,\theta)$, one can assume that F, C, and their associated auxiliary fields have the dependences

$$\begin{aligned} F(\boldsymbol{r}_1) = F(r_1) \quad ; \quad C(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2) = C(r_1,r_2,\theta_1-\theta_2)\,, \\ \lambda_1(\boldsymbol{r}_1) = \lambda_1(r_1) \quad ; \quad \lambda_2(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2) = \lambda_2(r_1,r_2,\theta_1-\theta_2)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Within the same simplified geometry, the mean axisymmetric flow satisfies

$$\int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_2\, \boldsymbol{V}_{12} F(\boldsymbol{r}_2) = \Omega(r_1)\, r_1\, \boldsymbol{e}_\theta \;;\; \Omega(r_1) = \frac{1}{r_1^2}\int_0^{r_1} \mathrm{d}r_2\, r_2\, F(r_2)\,, \tag{18}$$

where $\Omega(r_1)$ is the local angular velocity. Thanks to these assumptions, one can rewrite the operators introduced in equation (13) under a simpler form. Indeed, one has

$$A_1 F = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t}\,. \tag{19}$$

Similarly, the term $G(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2)$ from equation (14) now reads

$$G(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2) = \frac{1}{r_1 r_2}\Big[r_2 \frac{\partial u_{12}}{\partial\theta_1} F(r_2) \frac{\partial F}{\partial r_1} + r_1 \frac{\partial u_{21}}{\partial\theta_2} F(r_1) \frac{\partial F}{\partial r_2}\Big]. \tag{20}$$

Finally, the constraint $E(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2)$ from equation (16) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} E(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2) = \frac{\partial\lambda_2}{\partial t} + \Omega(r_1)\frac{\partial\lambda_2}{\partial\theta_1} + \Omega(r_2)\frac{\partial\lambda_2}{\partial\theta_2} \\ + \gamma\Big[\frac{1}{r_1}\frac{\partial u_{12}}{\partial\theta_1}\frac{\partial\lambda_1}{\partial r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2}\frac{\partial u_{21}}{\partial\theta_2}\frac{\partial\lambda_1}{\partial r_2}\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

4.2. Inverting the constraint

In order to invert equation (21), we rely on Bogoliubov ansatz (adiabatic approximation) by assuming that the fluctuations (such as C and λ_2) evolve on a much shorter timescale than the mean dynamical quantities (such as F and λ_1). As a consequence, on the timescale for which λ_2 evolves, one can assume F and λ_1 to be frozen, while on the timescale of secular evolution, one can assume λ_2 to be equal to the asymptotic value associated with the current values of F and λ_1.

We introduce the azimuthal Fourier transform as

$$\begin{aligned} f(r_1,r_2,\theta_1-\theta_2) &= \sum_n \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}n(\theta_1-\theta_2)} f_n(r_1,r_2)\,, \\ f_n(r_1,r_2) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \mathrm{d}\theta\, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}n\theta}\, f(r_1,r_2,\theta)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Multiplying equation (21) by $1/(2\pi)^2\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}n(\theta_1-\theta_2)}$ and integrating w.r.t. θ_1 and θ_2, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\lambda_{-n}}{\partial t} - \mathrm{i}n\Delta\Omega\lambda_{-n} - \gamma\mathrm{i}n u_n^*(r_1,r_2)\Big[\frac{1}{r_1}\frac{\partial\lambda_1}{\partial r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}\frac{\partial\lambda_1}{\partial r_2}\Big] = 0\,, \tag{23}$$

where we used the notations $\lambda_{-n}=\lambda_{-n}(r_1,r_2)$, $\Delta\Omega=\Omega(r_1)-\Omega(r_2)$, and relied on the fact that $u_n(r_2,r_1)=u_{-n}(r_1,r_2)=u_n^*(r_1,r_2)$. Thanks to the boundary condition $\lambda_2(T)=0$ used in equation (15), equation (23) can straightforwardly be solved as

$$\lambda_{-n}(t) = -\gamma u_n^*(r_1,r_2)\Big[\frac{1}{r_1}\frac{\partial\lambda_1}{\partial r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}\frac{\partial\lambda_1}{\partial r_2}\Big]\frac{1-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}n\Delta\Omega(t-T)}}{\Delta\Omega}\,. \tag{24}$$

In order to consider only the forced regime of evolution, we now assume that the arbitrary temporal bound T is large compared to the time t, so that we place ourselves in the limit $T\to+\infty$. We recall the formula

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}T\Delta\omega}-1}{\Delta\omega} = \mathrm{i}\pi\delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\Delta\omega)\,, \tag{25}$$

so that equation (24) immediately gives

$$\lim_{T\to+\infty}\lambda_{-n}(t) = -\mathrm{i}\pi\gamma\frac{n}{|n|}u_n^*(r_1,r_2)\Big[\frac{1}{r_1}\frac{\partial\lambda_1}{\partial r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}\frac{\partial\lambda_2}{\partial r_2}\Big]\delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\Omega(r_1)-\Omega(r_2))\,, \tag{26}$$

where we used the property $\delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\alpha x)=\delta_{\mathrm{D}}(x)/|\alpha|$. Equation (26) illustrates how the Bogoliubov ansatz allowed us to invert the constraint $E[F,\lambda_1,\lambda_2]=0$ from equation (16) so as to obtain $\lambda_2=\lambda_2[F,\lambda_1]$.

4.3. Recovering the Landau collision operator

We now substitute the inverted expression from equation (26) into equation (13), which then only depends on F and λ_1. The remaining action term $S[F,\lambda_1]$ takes the form

$$S[F,\lambda_1] = \mathrm{i}\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1\,\lambda_1(\boldsymbol{r}_1)A_1F(\boldsymbol{r}_1) + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_2\,\lambda_2[F,\lambda_1]G(\boldsymbol{r}_1,\boldsymbol{r}_2)\,. \tag{27}$$

Thanks to the expressions of A_1 and G from equations (19) and (20), and using the Fourier transform introduced in equation (22), equation (27) takes the form

$$S[F,\lambda_1] = \mathrm{i}\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1\, \lambda_1(\boldsymbol{r}_1)\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} - \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(2\pi)^2\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}r_1\mathrm{d}r_2 \times \sum_n n\mathrm{Im}\Big[\lambda_{-n}(r_1,r_2)\,u_n(r_1,r_2)\Big]\Big[r_2F(r_2)\frac{\partial F}{\partial r_1} - r_1F(r_1)\frac{\partial F}{\partial r_2}\Big]. \quad (28)$$

Thanks to equation (26), we immediately have

$$\mathrm{Im}\Big[\lambda_{-n}(r_1,r_2)u_n(r_1,r_2)\Big] = -\pi\gamma\frac{n}{|n|}|u_n(r_1,r_2)|^2\delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\Omega(r_1)-\Omega(r_2)) \times\Big[\frac{1}{r_1}\frac{\partial\lambda_1}{\partial r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}\frac{\partial\lambda_2}{\partial r_2}\Big]. \quad (29)$$

Introducing the notation $\chi(r_1,r_2)=\sum_n |n||u_n(r_1,r_2)|^2$, equation (28) then becomes

$$S[F,\lambda_1] = \mathrm{i}\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1\, \lambda_1(\boldsymbol{r}_1)\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(2\pi)^2\pi\gamma\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}r_1\mathrm{d}r_2\,\chi(r_1,r_2) \times\delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\Omega(r_1)-\Omega(r_2))\Big[\frac{1}{r_1}\frac{\partial\lambda_1}{\partial r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}\frac{\partial\lambda_1}{\partial r_2}\Big]\Big[r_2F(r_2)\frac{\partial F}{\partial r_1} - r_1F(r_1)\frac{\partial F}{\partial r_2}\Big]. \quad (30)$$

The final step of the calculation is to rewrite the second term of equation (30) under the form $\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1\lambda_1(\boldsymbol{r}_1)$.... Using an integration by parts, and accordingly permuting the indices $1\leftrightarrow 2$, this is a straightforward calculation. Finally, when changing the integration domain, one has to rely on the property

$$\int \mathrm{d}r_1\, f(r_1) = \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1\, \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{1}{r_1}f(r_1). \quad (31)$$

After calculation, equation (30) can easily be rewritten as

$$S[F,\lambda_1] = \mathrm{i}\int \mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}_1\,\lambda_1(\boldsymbol{r}_1)\Big\{\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} -2\pi^2\gamma\frac{1}{r_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial r_1}\Big[\int \mathrm{d}r_2\, r_2\,\chi(r_1,r_2)\,\delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\Omega(r_1)-\Omega(r_2)) \times\Big[\frac{1}{r_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r_2}\Big]F(r_1)F(r_2)\Big]\Big\}. \quad (32)$$

By integrating functionally equation (32) w.r.t. λ_1, one finally obtains a closed form expression for the kinetic equation as

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 2\pi^2\gamma\frac{1}{r_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial r_1}\Big[\int \mathrm{d}r_2\, r_2\,\chi(r_1,r_2)\,\delta_{\mathrm{D}}(\Omega(r_1)-\Omega(r_2)) \times\Big[\frac{1}{r_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial r_1} - \frac{1}{r_2}\frac{\partial}{\partial r_2}\Big]F(r_1)F(r_2)\Big]. \quad (33)$$

Using the functional integral formalism presented in section 3, we were therefore able to recover the collisional secular evolution of an axisymmetric system of point vortices, when collective effects are neglected, in full agreement with what was obtained in [27, 28, 29]. We refer to [28] for a discussion of the properties of equation (33), its numerical resolution, and some physical applications.

5. Discussion

In this section, we discuss the assumptions made in our paper to obtain the kinetic equation (33), and how such assumptions can be overpassed.

5.1. Collective effects

We neglected collective effects. In principle, collective effects could be taken into account in our functional integral formalism at the price of more complicated calculations. However, inverting equation (15), when collective effects are accounted for, does not appear as straightforward. These effects can be taken into account in the quasilinear and BBGKY formalisms [30, 31, 32]. This leads to the Balescu-Lenard equation [see Eq. (63) in [31]] instead of the Landau equation (33). It is found that collective effects do not alter the physical structure of the kinetic equation. One only has to replace the Fourier transform of the bare potential of interaction $u_n(r_1,r_2)$ by a dressed potential of interaction. This changes the form of the function $\chi(r_1,r_2)$ in equation (33) without changing the structure of this equation.

In the case of plasmas, collective effects [38, 39] are responsible for Debye shielding (a charge is surrounded by a cloud of opposite charges that shields the Coulombian interaction) and they regularise the large-scale logarithmic divergence appearing in the Landau equation [18] when they are ignored. In the case of stellar systems, collective effects [22, 23] are responsible for anti-shielding (a star is surrounded by a cloud of stars that increases the gravitational interaction) and they can reduce the relaxation time by several orders of magnitude with respect to the case where they are not taken into account, as shown in [25] for stellar discs. In the case of $2D$ point vortices, the importance of collective effects is more difficult to estimate. Collective effects are less crucial than in plasma physics since the Landau equation of point vortices (33) that ignores them is well-behaved mathematically (it does not present any divergence). On the other hand, when a test particle approach and a (thermal) bath approximation are implemented, one can show that collective effects become negligible in the expression of the diffusion and drift coefficients entering in the Fokker-Planck equation [30, 31]. Finally, we emphasise that what really matters in the kinetic equation (33) is the condition of resonance encapsulated in the δ_{D} function. This condition implies that the kinetic equation reduces to $\partial F/\partial t = 0$ when the profile of angular velocity is monotonic, which is the generic case for Euler stable axisymmetric flows.[3] This is independent of whether or not collective effects are taken into account. For an axisymmetic distribution of point vortices with a monotonic profile of angular velocity, the relaxation time towards the Boltzmann distribution is then longer than Nt_{dyn} [30, 31], as it is due to higher order correlations.

[3] When the profile of angular velocity is initially non-monotonic, but nevertheless dynamically (Euler) stable, one can show that the effect of distant collisions between point vortices is precisely to make it become monotonic [28].

5.2. Axisymmetric flows

We restricted ourselves to the case of axisymmetric flows in which the point vortices follow circular orbits. In terms of mathematical simplicity, this situation is the counterpart of spatially homogeneous self-gravitating systems in which the stars have rectilinear trajectories. The difference between these two systems comes from the fact that, in an axisymmetric flow, a vortex has the tendency to rotate due to the influence of the other vortices while, in a homogeneous medium, a star has the tendency to follow a straight line due to its inertia. A formal kinetic equation of point vortices valid for arbitrary flows has been obtained in [27] [see Eq. (128)] and confirmed in [49, 29]. Explicit approximate expressions of this formal kinetic equation for non-axisymmetric flows have been obtained in [27] [see Eq. (137)] and in [50] under different assumptions. It would be interesting to develop a rigorous kinetic theory of point vortices for arbitrary flows by introducing the analogue of the angle-action variables used to treat spatially inhomogeneous stellar systems [22, 23]. It may also be interesting to consider the kinetic theory of point vortices on a sphere where it can have potential applications to geophysical flows (see, e.g., [51] for the development of the Miller-Robert-Sommeria [3, 4] statistical theory on a sphere).

5.3. Multi-species system

We have considered a single species point vortex gas. The kinetic theory of point vortices can be generalised to the case where the vortices have different circulations [52, 28].[4] When the circulations have the same sign, the validity of the kinetic equation is the same as the one derived in this paper. However, when vortices have different signs, the situation is more complicated. First of all, in generic situations, the system is not axisymmetric but consists of two large vortices (macroscopic dipole), one blob with a positive circulation and one blob with a negative circulation, or in three large vortices (macroscopic tripole), one blob with a positive circulation surrounded by two blobs of negative circulation (or the opposite). In that case, the kinetic theory must be generalised to non-axisymmetric flows. Point vortices can also form microscopic dipoles, pairs $(+,-)$ of positive and negative vortices, that have a ballistic motion and escape to infinity. In that case, there is no equilibrium state. They can also form microscopic tripoles $(+,-,+)$ or $(-,+,-)$. These structures, corresponding to nontrivial correlations, are not taken into account in the kinetic theory developed in our paper. The formation of these structures may be negligible in the thermodynamic limit $N\to+\infty$ considered here, but these structures may initially be present in the flow. Similarly, the presence of vortex pairs $(+,+)$ or $(-,-)$, similar to binary stars in astrophysics, is not taken into account here. Kinetic equations for a vortex gas viewed as a coupling, via the Liouville equation, between monopoles, dipoles and tripoles have been derived in [54, 55]. Kinetic theory of three-body collisions (dipoles hitting monopoles) with application to the context of 2D decaying turbulence has also been developed in [56]. There is finally also the possibility that point vortices split in three vortices (offsprings of a point vortex), a process reverse to the three point vortex collapse, offering the possibility of a statistical mechanics approach with a varying number of vortices [57].

[4] The equilibrium statistical mechanics of a multi-species gas of point vortices is treated extensively in [53].

6. Conclusion

Relying on the functional integral formalism introduced in [46], we illustrated how one may use this approach to derive the kinetic equation describing the long-term evolution of an axisymmetric distribution of point vortices when collective effects are neglected. We believe that such calculations allow for additional insights on the origin of these kinetic equations and complement the usual methods of derivation. Rewriting kinetic theories for $N-$body systems with long-range interactions under a functional form allows for insightful connections with standard field theory methods such as the Martin-Siggia-Rose functional method for classical stochastic systems in the Jensen path integral formulation [58]. A next step of the current approach would be to show how the same methodology may be used when collective effects or higher order correlation terms are accounted for. This will be the subject of a future work.

Acknowledgements

JBF, PHC and CP thank the CNRS Inphyniti program for funding. JBF and CP thank the Korea Institute for Advanced Study and the CFHT for hospitality. This work is partially supported by the Spin(e) grants ANR-13-BS05-0005 of the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (`http://cosmicorigin.org`).

References

References

[1] P. H. Chavanis, *Statistical mechanics of two-dimensional vortices and stellar systems*, in: Dynamics and thermodynamics of systems with long range interactions, Vol. 602, Springer, 2002.
[2] D. Lynden-Bell, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 136 (1967) 101.
[3] J. Miller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 2137.
[4] R. Robert, J. Sommeria, J. Fluid Mech. 229 (1991) 291.
[5] P. H. Chavanis, J. Sommeria, R. Robert, Astrophys. J. 471 (1996) 385.
[6] J. Binney, S. Tremaine, Galactic Dynamics (Second Edition), Princeton University Press, 2008.
[7] F. Bouchet, A. Venaille, Phys. Rep. 515 (2012) 227.
[8] K. F. Ogorodnikov, Sov. Astron. 1 (1957) 748.
[9] L. Onsager, Nuovo Cimento, Suppl. 6 (1949) 279.
[10] G. L. Eyink, K. R. Sreenivasan, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78 (2006) 87.
[11] D. Montgomery, G. Joyce, Phys. Fluids 17 (1974) 1139.
[12] L. Spitzer, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 100 (1940) 396.
[13] V. A. Antonov, Vest. Leningr. Gos. Univ. 7 (1962) 135.
[14] D. Lynden-Bell, R. Wood, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 138 (1968) 495.
[15] A. A. Vlasov, Zh. Eksp. i Teor. Fiz. 8 (1938) 291.
[16] J. H. Jeans, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 76 (1915) 71.
[17] S. Chandrasekhar, Astrophys. J. 97 (1943) 255.
[18] L. D. Landau, Phys. Z. Sowj. Union 10 (1936) 154.
[19] P. H. Chavanis, Physica A 377 (2007) 469.
[20] P. H. Chavanis, J. Stat. Mech. 5 (2010) 05019.
[21] P. H. Chavanis, Astron. Astrophys. 556 (2013) A93.
[22] J. Heyvaerts, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 407 (2010) 355.
[23] P. H. Chavanis, Physica A 391 (2012) 3680.

[24] J. B. Fouvry, C. Pichon, P. H. Chavanis, Astron. Astrophys. 581 (2015) A139.
[25] J. B. Fouvry, C. Pichon, J. Magorrian, P. H. Chavanis, Astron. Astrophys. 584 (2015) A129.
[26] J. B. Fouvry, C. Pichon, P. H. Chavanis, L. Monk, MNRAS (2016) submitted.
[27] P. H. Chavanis, Phys. Rev. E 64 (2001) 026309.
[28] P. H. Chavanis, M. Lemou, Eur. Phys. J. B 59 (2007) 217.
[29] P. H. Chavanis, Physica A 387 (2008) 1123.
[30] P. H. Chavanis, J. Stat. Mech. 2 (2012) 02019.
[31] P. H. Chavanis, Physica A 391 (2012) 3657.
[32] D. Dubin, T. M. O'Neil, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 (1988) 1286.
[33] S. Chandrasekhar, Rev. Mod. Phys. 21 (1949) 383.
[34] S. Chandrasekhar, J. von Neumann, Astrophys. J. 95 (1942) 489.
[35] P. H. Chavanis, C. Sire, Phys. Rev. E 62 (2000) 490.
[36] H. Kandrup, Astro. Space. Sci. 97 (1983) 435.
[37] P. H. Chavanis, Phys. Rev. E 58 (1998) R1199.
[38] R. Balescu, Phys. Fluids 3 (1960) 52.
[39] A. Lenard, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 10 (1960) 390.
[40] I. H. Gilbert, Astrophys. J. 152 (1968) 1043.
[41] G. Severne, M. J. Haggerty, Astro. Space Sci. 45 (1976) 287.
[42] P. H. Chavanis, Physica A 387 (2008) 787.
[43] Y. L. Klimontovich, The Statistical Theory of Non-Equilibrium Processes in a Plasma, MIT press, Cambridge, 1967.
[44] P. H. Chavanis, Physica A 387 (2008) 1504.
[45] H. Kandrup, Astrophys. J. 244 (1981) 316.
[46] T. Jolicoeur, J. C. Le Guillou, Phys. Rev. A 40 (1989) 5815.
[47] J. B. Fouvry, P. H. Chavanis, C. Pichon, Physica A 459 (2016) 117.
[48] P. K. Newton, *The N-Vortex Problem: Analytical Techniques*, in: Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 145, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
[49] M. M. Sano, Phys. Rev. E 76 (2007) 046312.
[50] Y. Yatsuyanagi, T. Hatori, P. H. Chavanis, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 84 (2015) 014402.
[51] C. Herbert, B. Dubrulle, P. H. Chavanis, D. Paillard, J. Stat. Mech. 05 (2012) 05023.
[52] D. H. E. Dubin, Phys. Plasmas 10 (2003) 1338.
[53] P. H. Chavanis, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 127 (2012) 159.
[54] H. Marmanis, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 454 (1998) 587.
[55] P. K. Newton, I. Mezic, Journal of Turbulence 3 (2002) 52.
[56] C. Sire, P.-H. Chavanis, J. Sopik, Phys. Rev. E 84 (2011) 056317.
[57] X. Leoncini, A. Barrat, C. Josserand, S. Villain-Guillot, Eur. Phys. J. B 82 (2011) 173.
[58] P. C. Martin, E. D. Siggia, H. A. Rose, Phys. Rev. A 8 (1973) 423.